- D. Each claim has been provided with the proper status identifier.
- E. The applicant has deleted the last sentence from the abstract, as requested by the examiner in his Office Action mailed November 07, 2006, and August 08, 2005 and as requested in the last Interview Summary mailed December 12, 2006. (Attached herewith appendix "B" 1 page)

This was done just now by the applicant, because the applicant did not find his abstract and asked from the Examiner, **Mr. John B. Walsh** to send it to him in all his responses and telephone calls to the examiner.

The abstract was sent to the applicant just now, after 1.5 Years, and Attached with the last Interview Summary mailed December 12, 2006 and just after Telephone conversations (to get help) with the Supervisor Mr. Etienne Ario (SPE) and the Supervisor Mr. Zarni Maung and sending an email and a fax (Attached herewith – appendix "C" – 2 pages) to the Supervisor Mr. Etienne Ario (SPE).

- F. Attached herewith also appendix "D" ( 5 pages) the Interview Summary, mailed December 12, 2006 which does not include in it the background and the main reasons to this Interview Summary as described here by the applicant and also in his email and fax to the Supervisor Mr. Etienne Ario (SPE) and also in all his responses and telephone calls to the Examiner.
- G. The applicant is asking in this response to add and include this fax and this background and the main reasons to this Interview Summary as written by him here and also in all of his responses to the Examiner's Office Actions.
- H. the applicant tried, as best as he can, with his poor English, to understand this Interview Summary as written, and to follow the instructions in it.
- I. The applicant agreed to delete the last sentence from the abstract after a telephone conversation (last week) with the examiner Mr. John B. Walsh, that explained to the applicant that all his rights as described in this deleted